

# Unified Bayesian Decision Theory

Richard Bradley  
Dept. of Philosophy, Logic and Scientific Method  
London School of Economics

## Vocabulary

Let  $X = \{a, b, \dots\}$  be a set partially ordered by the relation  $\leq$ . Then (if they exist):

1.  $ab$  denotes the greatest lower bound on  $\{a, b\}$
2.  $a \vee b$  denotes the least upper bound on  $\{a, b\}$
3.  $\neg a$  denotes the (unique) complement of  $a$ .
4.  $\top$  and  $\perp$  respectively denote the greatest and least element in  $X$
5.  $a = b$  means  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq a$ .

A set  $Y$  is said to be closed under the operation  $\rightarrow$  of **conditionalisation** on a set  $X$  iff  $\forall(a \in X, b \in Y), a \rightarrow b \in Y$ .

$X_Y =_{def}$  the closure of  $X$  under conditionalisation on  $Y$ .

## Conditional Algebras

The structure  $\Psi = \langle Y, \leq, \rightarrow \rangle$  is said to be a **conditional algebra** based on the structure  $\Gamma = \langle X, \leq, \top, \perp \rangle$  iff for all  $a, b, c \in X$ :

1.  $Y$  is closed under conditionalisation on  $X \subseteq Y$
2.  $\Gamma$  is a Boolean algebra
3.  $\Psi$  is a lattice bounded above and below by  $\top$  and  $\perp$
4. (C1)  $\top \rightarrow b = b$   
(C2)  $a \rightarrow b = a \rightarrow ab$   
(M)  $(a \rightarrow b)(a \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow bc$   
(J)  $(a \rightarrow b) \vee (a \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow (b \vee c)$

A conditional algebra  $\Psi$  is said to be:

1. **Indicative** iff  $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = ab \rightarrow c$
2. **Normally Bounded** iff
  - (i)  $a \rightarrow \top = \top$
  - (ii)  $a \rightarrow \perp = \perp$  (if  $a \neq \perp$ )

A **Ramsey algebra** is an indicative, normally bounded conditional algebra.

Factual Prospects:  $A = \{f, g, h, \dots\}$

Conditional prospects:  $C = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots\}$ .  $C' = C - \{\perp\}$

We assume that  $\langle C, \leq, \rightarrow \rangle$  forms a Ramsey algebra based on  $\langle A, \leq \rangle$ .

# Bayesian Models

A Bayesian model for a rational agent is a pair of functions,  $\langle P, V \rangle$ , on  $C$  and  $C'$  satisfying  $\forall(f, g, h, i \in A)$  such that  $fg = \perp$ :

## Belief Axioms

**P0**  $P(f) \geq 0$

**P1**  $P(\top) = 1$

**P2**  $P(f \vee g) = P(f) + P(g)$

**P3**  $P(f \rightarrow h) = P(h|f)$

## Desire Axioms

**V1**  $V(\top) = 0$

**V2**  $V(f \vee g).P(f \vee g) = V(f).P(f) + V(g).P(g)$

**V3**  $V(f \rightarrow h) = V(h|f).P(f)$

**V4**  $V((f \rightarrow h)(g \rightarrow i)) = V(f \rightarrow h) + V(g \rightarrow i)$

## Some Consequences

(i) In the presence of V1 and V2, axioms V3 and V4 are equivalent to the 'state-dependent' SEU hypothesis:

$$V(f \rightarrow g)(\neg f \rightarrow h) = V(fg).P(f) + V(\neg fh).P(\neg f)$$

(ii) P3 and V3 imply that  $\langle P, V \rangle$  are a probability and a desirability over  $A_f$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $\langle P, V \rangle$  are a probability and a desirability over  $A_f$ . Then V3 implies P3.

## Value Functions

What characterises Bayesian value functions?

**Averaging Slogan:** No prospect is better (or worse) than its best (worst) possible realisation.

An real valued function  $\phi$  on a Ramsey algebra is an **Averaging Function** iff

$$\phi(fg) \geq \phi(\neg fh) \Rightarrow$$

1.  $\phi(fg) \geq \phi(fg \vee \neg fh) \geq \phi(f\neg g)$

2.  $\phi(fg) \geq \phi((f \rightarrow g)(\neg f \rightarrow h)) \geq \phi(\neg fh)$

## Characterisation Theorem

Suppose:

(A) There exists a real valued functions  $P_A$  on  $A$  and  $V$  on  $C$ , that jointly satisfy V3 and V4 and are such that  $\forall(\alpha, \beta \in C), V(\alpha) \geq V(\beta) \Leftrightarrow \alpha \succeq \beta$ .

(B) The agent's preferences respect:

1. Non-triviality:  $\exists(\alpha \in C)$  such that  $\alpha \succ \top$
2. Mitigation:  $\forall(\alpha, \beta \in C), \exists(f \in A)$  such that  $f\alpha \approx \beta$

Then:

If  $V$  is an averaging function then there exists a function  $P$  on  $C$  which agrees with  $P_A$  on  $A$  and such that  $\langle P, V \rangle$  constitutes a Bayesian model of the agent.

## Rational Preference

Let  $\succeq$  be a (weak preference) relation on  $C'$  satisfying  $\forall(f, g, h \in A : fg = \perp)$ :

1. **Transitivity:**  $\alpha \succeq \beta$  and  $\beta \succeq \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \succeq \gamma$
2. **Completeness:**  $\alpha \succeq \beta$  or  $\beta \succeq \alpha$
3. **Preference for Conditionals:**  $f \rightarrow \alpha \succeq f \rightarrow \beta \Leftrightarrow f\alpha \succeq f\beta$
4. **Independence:**

$$f \rightarrow \alpha \succeq f \rightarrow \gamma \Leftrightarrow (f \rightarrow \alpha)(g \rightarrow \beta) \succeq (f \rightarrow \gamma)(g \rightarrow \beta)$$

5. **Averaging of Disjunctions:**  $f \succeq g \Leftrightarrow f \succeq f \vee g \succeq g$
6. **Averaging of Conditionals:**  
 $f \rightarrow g\alpha \succeq f \rightarrow \neg g\beta \Leftrightarrow f \rightarrow g\alpha \succeq f \rightarrow (g \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg g \rightarrow \beta) \succeq f \rightarrow \neg g\beta$

## Neutral Prospects

1.  $\phi$  is **neutral** with respect to  $\psi$  iff  $\phi\psi \approx \psi$ .

2.  $p$  and  $q$  are **equiprobable** iff  $\forall\alpha, \beta$  with respect to which they are both neutral:

$$(p \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg p \rightarrow \beta) \approx (q \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg q \rightarrow \beta)$$

3.  $f$  is **independent** of  $\phi$  iff  $\forall\alpha, \beta \in C$ :

$$\phi(f \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg f \rightarrow \beta) \approx (f \rightarrow \phi\alpha)(\neg f \rightarrow \phi\beta)$$

Let  $\Pi$  be the set of prospects  $p$  such that  $p$  and  $\neg p$  are equiprobable.

## Axioms of Neutrality

**N1**  $\forall(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in C, f \in A)$  there exists  $p, q \in \Pi$  that are neutral with respect to them and independent of each other and  $f$ .

**N2** Suppose that  $p, q \in \Pi$  are neutral with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then  
 $(p \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg p \rightarrow \beta) \approx (q \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg q \rightarrow \beta)$

**N3**  $\forall(\alpha, \beta > \top)$  there exists a partition  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  of equiprobable prospects such that for some  $i \leq n$ ,  $\alpha > p_i \rightarrow \beta$

**N4** Suppose that  $p \in \Pi$  is neutral with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then there exists  $\gamma, \delta \in C$  such that  $(p \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg p \rightarrow \beta) \approx (p \rightarrow \gamma)(\neg p \rightarrow \top)$  and  $(p \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg p \rightarrow \delta) \approx \top$ .

## Defining an Additive Structure

### Values

$$\alpha =_{def} \{\phi \in C' : \phi \approx \alpha\}$$

$$\alpha \geq \beta \Leftrightarrow_{def} \forall(\alpha \in \alpha, \beta \in \beta), \alpha \succeq \beta$$

### Addition

Let  $p$  be  $\neg p$  be equiprobable and neutral wrt  $\phi, \alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then

$$\alpha \circ \beta =_{def} \{\phi \in C' : p \rightarrow \phi \approx (p \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg p \rightarrow \beta)\}$$

$$-\alpha =_{def} \{\phi \in C' : (p \rightarrow \phi)(\neg p \rightarrow \alpha) \approx \perp\}$$

### Lemma Addition

$\langle C', \succeq, \circ \rangle$  is an (Archimedean) simply ordered group, i.e.

1.  $\alpha \circ \beta = \beta \circ \alpha$
2.  $(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma = \alpha \circ (\beta \circ \gamma)$
3.  $\alpha \circ \top = \alpha$
4.  $\alpha \circ (-\alpha) = \top$
5.  $\alpha \circ \beta \geq \alpha \circ \gamma \Leftrightarrow \beta \geq \gamma$

### Theorem

$\langle C', \succeq, \circ, \top \rangle$  and  $\langle C'_f, \succeq, \circ, \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \top \rangle$  are isomorphic to  $\langle \mathfrak{R}, \succeq, +, 0 \rangle$  and if  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are any isomorphisms,  $\phi = a\phi'$  for some  $a > 0$ .

(Proof by application of Hölder's theorem).

## Representation Theorem

Suppose that  $\succeq$  is a complete and transitive relation on  $C'$  that respects Independence, Preference for Conditionals and the neutrality axioms. Then:

**Existence:** there exists a function  $V$  on  $C$  and a function  $P$  on  $A$  such that

$\forall(\alpha, b \in C; f, g \in A)$ :

- (i)  $V(\alpha) \geq V(\beta) \Leftrightarrow \alpha \succeq \beta$
- (ii)  $V(\top) = 0$
- (iii)  $V(f \rightarrow \alpha)(\neg f \rightarrow \beta) = V(f \rightarrow \alpha) + V(\neg f \rightarrow \beta)$
- (iv)  $V(f \rightarrow g) = V(g|f) \cdot P(f)$

**Uniqueness:** if  $U'$  and  $P'$  are another such a pair of functions satisfying (i) - (iv), then  $P' = P$  and  $U' = aU$ , for some real number  $a > 0$ .

**Corollary:** Suppose furthermore that  $\succsim$  respects the axioms of averaging. Then  $\langle P, V \rangle$  constitute a Bayesian model.